

REMARKS

Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

It is hereby requested that the term to respond to the Examiner's Action of June 25, 2008 be extended three months, from September 25, 2008 to December 25, 2008..

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the extension fee to Deposit Account No. 50-4364, and any additional fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 09-0457.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 through 24 are pending in the application and the Examiner rejected all of the claims.

Claim Objections

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 7, 15, and 23 for containing incorrect grammar. Applicant has amended these claims in accordance with the Examiner's requirement. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the objection to claims 7, 15, and 23.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §101. Claim 1 has been amended to recite the use of a special purpose computer to implement the present invention, i.e., a computer configured to implement the steps of the invention. Applicant submits that this amendment overcomes the §101 rejection and accordingly, the

Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §101.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 7, 9-12, 15, 17-20, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0010472 to Quatse et al.

On page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 5, 6, 8, 13, 14, 16, 21, 22, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Quatse et al.

Quatse Is Not Prior Art to the Present Invention

As set forth in the Declaration of David Selby, applicant conceived and reduced to practice the claimed invention prior to July 8, 2003, which is the application filing date of Quatse. Since Quatse is not prior art with respect to the present invention, applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103.

Conclusion

The present invention is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of the claims. An early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

PATENT
Application No. 10/806,204

Docket No. RSW920030089US1
Page 8

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to applicant's Deposit Account No. 09-0457.

Respectfully submitted

December 19, 2008
Date

/Mark D. Simpson/
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire
Registration No. 32,942

SAUL EWING LLP
Centre Square West
1500 Market Street, 38th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2189
Telephone: 215 972 7880
Facsimile: 215 972 4169
Email: MSimpson@saul.com